sacs and another, of a similar length, between them and the ocular lobes, and one short tentacle between the two small lohes of each sac, so that each tentacular pouch sustains five tentacles, three of which are long and two short. The margin of the disk is, therefore, divided into forty-eight lobes, sixteen of which are ocular lobes and thirty-two tentacular lobes, two and two of which are separated by a short tentacle, while there is one large tentacle between the two paiss and nother outside of each pair, so that the total number of tentacles, large and small, is forty. As in Placois, the central cavity is very wide, amb the radiating pouches comparatively short. The disk is flatter than that of Pelagia proper. The type of this genus is Chrysaom lactea Issch. (Acalephs, PII. VII. Fing. 3). to which must be added the Pelagia quinquecirra $D_{\text {es. }}$.

Polybostarema Braudl. The general aspeet of Chrysaoma helvola Br., which I consider as the type of this genus, is so similar to that of the genus Chrysaora proper, that it may well be questioned whether they do not belong to one and the same natural group. In hoth there are eight tentacular pouches, terminating in two marginal sacs, amb forming two distinet marginal lobes, separated by a deep indentation in which there is an ere; and cight tentacular pouches with two distinct lobes, between which and on the sides of which hang the three tentacles chamateristic of the tentacular pouches of Chrysama. However, a eloser comparison at once shows differenees which are unquestionaliby structural diflerences, and therefore indicate different genera. In the first place, instead of being similar to one another, there is a marked difference in the outline of the ocular and tentacular pouches. The oeular pouches are widest midway; and narrowest towarls the central cavity, and again narrower near the margin; while the tentacular pouches are widest near the margin, and brameh ofl into four sales, the middle ones forming the tentacular lobes, between which projects one tentacle, while the other two tentacles start from the lateral sates near the oenlar lobes. soe the figure of Mertens', in the paper quoted above, Pl. XV. F'\%, t. To this genus Bramit also refers the Chrysiom melanaster, represented in the same paper, Pls. XVI. and XVII.; this species shows, however, another combination of chamacters which I consider as generic, and for it I propose the following name:

Melanaster A!. Ocular pouches terminating in two distinet sace, lorming broad, distinct lobes, separated by deeproumled indentations; tentacular pouches terminating also in two distinet sacs, lorming broad, distinct lobes, between and on the sides of which there are three tentacles, as in Chysamora and Polybostryechat But here the tentacular and ocular pouches are similar in structure, as in Chrysaora, and not alternately broader, near the margin amb near the main cavity, as in Polybostrycha; they difier, however, from Chrysatora in the great development of these marginal lobes, and in the presence of an auxiliary small lobe between the

