correspouds with Paradoxides, where the second segment has a greater derelopment than the others. In the extreme development of the posterior segments, in one species, there is likewise a similarity with Paradoxides In the slight development of the pygidium, our fossil corresponds in some degree with Paradoxides."
To these differences may be added that the pleural groove on the sioment of Olenellus is shallower, broader, and less oblique than that of Pamadoxides.
The late Mr. G. Linnarsson considered Olenellus Thompsoni as having no affinity to Olemus, but as a true Paradoxides or Anopolenus (Brach. Paradoxides Beds of Sweden, p. 28. Bihang till k. Srensk. Vet.-Akad. Hamdl. Bd. 3, N:o 12, 1876).

With the first I am in accord, but, on comparing with Anopolenus Salteri, as illustrated by Mr. Micks (Quart. Jour. (reol. Soc., vol. xxi, p. सsi, fig. 1, 186:5), I fail to recognize the characters uniting the two forms. The remarkable development of the posterior portions of Anopolemus and the pecoliar glabellas and eres serve to distinguish it as a distinct genus from Paradoxides and Olenellus; and with Paradoxides there are the differences given by Professor Hall and the writer (ante); but, as stated by Mr. Ford, the adult form of $O$. asaphoides as known to him differs only in one feature from the structural peculiarities wromped under the genus Paradoxides, and that is the segment furrow or plemal groove. To this I think we may now add "and in the elongate, simple telson or pygidium."

One marked peculianty is that the extended plemara of Olenellus oceris on the third, while that of Paradoxides is on the second, segment of the thorax.

RELATIONS OF THEL GENERA PARADONIDES, MESONACIS, AND OLENELLUS.
As mentioned under the description of the genus Mesonacis, that sums is requrded as intermediate between Paradoxides and Olenellus, or a form preserving typical features of each genns.

Mesonacis is distinguished from Paradoxides by the character of the pleural groove of the segment, the presence of a dorsal spine on a modified firm of segment on the fifteenth segment of the thorax, and the drawing in of the cole, especially of the posterior end towards the glabella. The points of resemblance are the configuration of the head, the type of the segments (except in the phemal groore), and the form of the pygidiun.

The features of the head and thorax of Mesonacis, back to the fifteenth segment, are in all details essentially those of Olenellus, but the pygidium belongs to a different form and the eleven posterior segments appear to go with the pegidium rather than with the auterior portion of the thorax.

The heads of young specimens of Olenellus Gilberti and those adults

