and the difference between the length which the feet ought to have had according to the size of body of each, in comparison with the size of body and length of feet of the rock-pigeon, calculated (with a few specified exceptions) by the standard of the length of the body from the base of the beak to the oil-gland. I have preferred this standard, owing to the variability of the length of tail. But I have made similar calculations, taking as the standard the length from tip to tip of wing, and likewise in most cases from the base of the beak to the end of the tail; and the result has always been closely similar. To give an example: the first bird in the table, being a Short-faced Tumbler, is much smaller than the rock-pigeon, and would naturally have shorter feet; but it is found on calculation to have feet too short by '11 of an inch, in comparison with the feet of the rock-pigeon, relatively to the size of the body in these two birds. as measured from the base of beak to the oil-gland. So again, when this same Tumbler and the rock-pigeon were compared by the length of their wings, or by the extreme length of their bodies, the feet of the Tumbler were likewise found to be too short in very nearly the same proportion. I am well aware that the measurements pretend to greater accuracy than is possible, but it was less trouble to write down the actual measurements given by the compasses in each case than an approximation.

Table II.

Pigeons with their beaks longer than that of the Rock-pigeon, proportionally to the size of their bodies.

Name of Breed. Wild rock-pigeon (mean measurement)									Actual length of Feet	Diff-rence between actual and calculated length of feet, in proportion to length of feet and size of body in the Rock-pigeon.	
									2.02	Too short by	Too long
Carrier			••			••			2.60	1	0.31
"		••	٠.	••	• •	• •			2.60		0.25
"	2.00		٠.				• •		2.40		0.21
"		ragon		••					2.25		0.06
Bagado Scande				••	• •	••			2.80		0.56
	roon,			••	• •	• •			2.80		0.37
		Pige	on	cygne		••			2.85	1 ::	0.29
Runt	• •	••	٠.	••	••	••	••	••	2.75	.,	0.27
	Nun	nber	of	specim	ens		••	••	8	ī :	8

In these two tables we see in the first column the actual length of the feet in thirty-six birds belonging to various breeds, and in the two other columns we see by how much the feet are too shore or too long, according to the size of bird, in comparison with the rock-pigeon. In the first table twenty-two specimens have their