which have been carefully recorded, concludes, "that from a judicious pairing of cross-bred animals it is practicable to establish a new breed." On the continent the history of several crossed races of cattle and of other animals has been well ascertained. To give one instance: the King of Wurtemburg, after twenty-five years' careful breeding, that is, after six or seven generations, made a new breed of cattle from a cross between a Dutch and a Swiss breed, combined with other breeds.25 The Sebright bantam, which breeds as true as any other kind of fowl, was formed about sixty years ago by a complicated cross.26 Dark Brahmas, which are believed by some fanciers to constitute a distinct species, were undoubtedly formed27 in the United States, within a recent period, by a cross between Chittagongs and Cochins. With plants there is little doubt that the Swede-turnip originated from a cross; and the history of a variety of wheat, raised from two very distinct varieties, and which after six years' culture presented an even sample, has been recorded on good authority.28

Until lately, cautious and experienced breeders, though not averse to a single infusion of foreign blood, were almost universally convinced that the attempt to establish a new race, intermediate between two widely distinct races, was hopeless: "they clung with superstitious tenacity to the "doctrine of purity of blood, believing it to be the ark in "which alone true safety could be found." 29 Nor was this conviction unreasonable: when two distinct races are crossed, the offspring of the first generation are generally nearly uniform in character; but even this sometimes fails to be the case, especially with crossed dogs and fowls, the young of which from the first are sometimes much diversified. As cross-bred animals are generally of large size and vigorous, they have been raised in great numbers for immediate consumption. But for breeding they are found utterly useless;

²⁵ 'Bulletin de la Soc. d'Acclimat.,'
1862, tom. ix. p. 463. See also, for other cases, MM. Moll and Gayot,
'Du Bœuf,' 1860, p. xxxii.

^{26 &#}x27;Poultry Chronicle,' vol. ii., 1854, p. 36.

Tegetmeier, 1866, p. 58.

²⁸ 'Gardener's Chronicle,' 1852, p. 765.

²⁹ Spooner, in 'Journal Royal Agricult. Soc.,' vol. xx., part ii.