

in that case there is, indeed, but little difference between us. But no reader of Haeckel's *Riddle* would have anticipated that such a contention could be made by any devout disciple ; and I wonder whether Mr M'Cabe can adduce any passage adequate to support so estimable a position. Surely it is difficult to sustain in face of quotations such as these :—

“The peculiar phenomenon of consciousness is . . . . a physiological problem, and as such must be reduced to the phenomena of physics and chemistry” (p. 65).

“I therefore consider Psychology a branch of natural science—a section of physiology. . . . We shall give to the material basis of all psychic activity, without which it is inconceivable, the provisional name of psychoplasm” (p. 32).

### *Life and Energy.*

The one and only point on which I think it worth while to express decided dissidence is to be found in the paragraph where Mr M'Cabe makes a statement con-