

accomplished; and if *one* chemical change be admitted to be a reality, why may not all others?

Finally, if there be any one who denies the existence of design, and sees nothing in all the more obvious arrangements and order around him, but the necessary results of what he chooses to denominate “the laws of nature;” let him calmly and deliberately consider the facts brought forward in the following pages: and if he can witness unconvinced all the numerous instances of prospective arrangement obviously made with reference to things not yet in existence; all the beautiful adjustments and adaptations of noxious and conflicting elements most wonderfully conspiring together for good; and, lastly, the subversion of even his favourite “laws of nature” themselves, when a particular purpose requires it: if, we say, he can witness all these, and still remain incredulous of the evidences of design; we can only observe, that his mind must be most singularly constituted, and apparently beyond the reach of conviction.