that the female alone contains the necessary matter to generation; and, as another of his principles was, that matter itself is unformed, and that form is a distinct being from matter, he affirmed that the male furnished the form, and, consequently, nothing belonging to matter.

Descartes, on the contrary, who admitted but a few mechanical principles in his philosophy, endeavoured to explain the formation of the fœtus by them, and thought it in his power to comprehend, and make others understand, how an organized and living being could be made by the laws of motion alone. His admitted principles differed from those used by Aristotle; but both, instead of examining the thing itself, without prepossession and prejudice, have only considered it in the point of view relative to their systems of philosophy, which could not be attended with a successful application to the nature of generation, because it depends, as we have shewn, on quite -different principles. Descartes differs still more from Aristotle, by admitting of the mixture of the seminal liquor of the two sexes; he thinks both furnish something material for generation, and that the fermentation occasioned