

tion, but that, not having seen the proof, he will give his own. In this he refers us to the right principle, but appears not distinctly to conceive the proof, since he estimates *momentum* indiscriminately by the statical Pressure of a body, and by its Velocity when in motion; as if these two quantities were self-evidently equal. Huyghens, in 1673, expresses himself dissatisfied with the proof by which Galileo's assumption was supported in the later editions of his works. His own proof rests on this principle;—that if a body fall down one inclined plane, and proceed up another with the velocity thus acquired, it cannot, under any circumstances, ascend to a higher position than that from which it fell. This principle coincides very nearly with Galileo's experimental illustration. In truth, however, Galileo's principle, which Huyghens thus slights, may be looked upon as a satisfactory statement of the true law; namely, that, in the same body, the velocity produced is as the pressure which produces it. "We are agreed," he says,<sup>16</sup> "that, in a movable body, the *impetus, energy, momentum, or propension to motion*, is as great as is the *force or least resistance* which suffices to support it." The various terms here used, both for dynamical and statical Force, show that Galileo's ideas were not confused by the ambiguity of any one term, as appears to have happened to some mathematicians. The principle thus announced, is, as we shall see, one of great extent and value; and we read with interest the circumstances of its discovery, which are thus narrated.<sup>17</sup> When Viviani was studying with Galileo, he expressed his dissatisfaction at the want of any clear reason for Galileo's postulate respecting the equality of velocities acquired down inclined planes of the same heights; the consequence of which was, that Galileo, as he lay, the same night, sleepless through indisposition, discovered the proof which he had long sought in vain, and introduced it in the subsequent editions. It is easy to see, by looking at the proof, that the discoverer had had to struggle, not for intermediate steps of reasoning between remote notions, as in a problem of geometry, but for a clear possession of ideas which were near each other, and which he had not yet been able to bring into contact, because he had not yet a sufficiently firm grasp of them. Such terms as Momentum and Force had been sources of confusion from the time of Aristotle; and it required considerable steadiness of thought to compare the forces of bodies at rest and in motion under the obscurity and vacillation thus produced.

---

<sup>16</sup> Galileo, *Op.* iii. 104.

<sup>17</sup> Drinkwater, *Life of Galileo*, p. 59.