The pygidium is not shown in any of Dr. Emmons's specimens or in those obtained by Mr. Ford at Troy, New York. We have not observed it in place on the trilobite. but from finding an elongate telson like that of O. Thompsoni in the limestone at Troy associated with fragments of $O$. asaphoides, it is quite probable that the pygidimm is of the same character. The objection to this is the broad space at the base of the fourteenth segment in the specimen figured by I)r. Emmons (Amer. Geol.), and also at the eleventh segment in Mr. Ford's figure (Amer. Jour. Sci., rol. xiii, p. 265, fig. 5, 1577). The pleura of the segments resemble those of Mesonacis Vermontana in their curvature rather than the strongly recurved pleure of $O$. Thompsoni, of the eleventh to the fourteenth segments. The discovery of more perfect specimens can alone determine the number of segments and the character of the prgidium.

The second epoch in the history of the species weowe to the investigations of Mr. S. W. Ford, who discovered in the limestones at Troy, Yew York, a number of minnte specimens showing some of the metamorphoses of the species.

Two of Mr. Ford's figures I have reprodnced, one showing a young stage, where the body is partially developed, and the other the mature form; illustrations are also given of two very small heards, figs. $\overline{5}$ and 6 , plate xvii. Fig. 5 has a length of four-fifths of a millimeter, and fig. $i$, of $1.5^{m m}$. Mr. Ford calls the spines $x x$ the interocular spines; these are absorbed during the development of the animal and also to a great extent their continuation up on the head; the surface of the latter is rrossed by elevated lines corresponding in position to the whather finrows; they appear to indicate the original sigmentation of the head, most of which is lost by absorption during the subseguent development, except on the glabella; the comection between the frontal lobe of the glabella and the ocular somite or segment is beautifully shown. As far as can be determined, the thorax is not yet developed in either specimen represented by figs. 5 and 6 . Fig. 8 shows the great development of the third thoracie segment, and also the interocular spines and genal spines, which are placed so close to each other in figs. 5 and 6 . $A$ specimen figured by Mr. Ford shows them separated by a slight erevice. Fig. 8 also shows the tendency of the genal angles to extend forward, a feature so extravagantly developed in o. Gilberti.

Mr. Ford has discussed the metamorphoses of the young of $O$. asaphoides in a minute and able mamer, and the reader is referred to his bapers (Amer. Jour. Sci., 3d ser., vols. xiii, xv, and xxii) for further information.

The geographic range of $O$. asaphoides is not yet well determined, owing to the fact that when in a fragmentary condition it is impossible to detect the difference betyeen it and $O$. Thompsoni and $O$. Gilberti when the latter is also without the thoracic segments.

## Formation and localities.-Middle Cambrian. In argillaceous shales at Rejuolds's Inn, northeast of Bald Mountain, Washington County,

